David,

Thanks for the opportunity to provide input on the Duke proposed pipeline. I understand a similar request was sent to Julian Hayward. To prevent potential confusion, Julian will send you the "official" response from the Pristine Trust later today. That response will reiterate the concern the Trust expressed to you during our meeting earlier this month, specifically, the concern with the proposed pipeline's close proximity to the off-site extraction wells infrastructure. The Trust is not opposed to the pipeline, but protection of, and accessibility to, the Trust's off-site pumping and monitoring infrastructure must be maintained.

Ron

On Fri, Feb 23, 2018 at 9:19 AM, Linnear, David <linnear.david@epa.gov> wrote:

> Morning Ron,
>
> Let me know your thoughts before I reply.
>
> Thanks,
>
> David
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Olberding, James H [mailto:James.Olberding@duke-energy.com]
> **Sent:** Friday, February 23, 2018 6:12 AM
> **To:** Linnear, David <linnear.david@epa.gov>; Palomeque, Adrian <Palomeque.Adrian@epa.gov>
> **Subject:** Pristine Superfund site Reading, Ohio
>
> Mr. Linnear / Mr. Palomeque: The attached correspondence outlines Duke Energy Ohio's assessment that the proposed Central Corridor Pipeline Project (Ohio Power Siting Board Case No. 16-0253-GA-BTX) will not have negative effects on the Pristine Inc. Superfund site at 410 Cavett Avenue in Reading, Ohio. Duke Energy Ohio is requesting a response from USEPA concerning this property. If you need additional information concerning the project, the docket in the case can be found at the following link: http://dis.puc.state.oh.us/CaseRecord.aspx?Caseno=16-0253&link=DIVA
>
> Please contact me if you have questions.
>
> Thank You
>
> **James Olberding, PMP**
>
> Senior Project Manager
>
> Major Projects

Natural Gas Operations

139 E 4<sup>th</sup> Street

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

513-287-3021 (o)

513-544-9692 (m)